# McMaster University DigitalCommons@McMaster

Open Access Dissertations and Theses

Open Dissertations and Theses

11-1-1979

# Thermal decomposition of a $\Delta^3$ -oxadiazoline

Michel Bekhazi

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.mcmaster.ca/opendissertations
Part of the Chemistry Commons

#### Recommended Citation

Bekhazi, Michel, "Thermal decomposition of a  $\Delta^3$ -oxadiazoline" (1979). Open Access Dissertations and Theses. Paper 302.

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Dissertations and Theses at DigitalCommons@McMaster. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Dissertations and Theses by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@McMaster. For more information, please contact scom@mcmaster.ca.

THE THERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF 2-METHOXY-2,5,5-TRIMETHYL- $\Delta^3$ -OXADIAZOLINE

bу



MICHEL BEKHAZI, B.Sc.

### A Thesis

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree
Master of Sciences

McMaster University
November 1979

Thermal decomposition of a  $\Delta^3$ -oxadiazoline

To my family

#### **ABSTRACT**

2-Methoxy-2,5,5-trimethyl- $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazoline was synthesized and decomposed neat, in the presence of carbon tetrachloride and in the presence of deuterated benzene. Because of the production of propene when  $C_6D_6$  was the solvent and chlorinated products when  $CCl_4$  was the solvent, a carbene mechanism is proposed. The evidence in support of this mechanism is strengthened by the trapping of the carbene intermediates with 1,1-diphenylethylene and the reaction of independently generated dimethylcarbene with  $CCl_4$ .

MASTER OF SCIENCES (1979) (Chemistry)

Hamilton, Ontario.

TITLE:

The Thermal Decomposition of 2-Methoxy-2,5,5-trimethyl-  $\Delta^3\text{-}\text{oxadiazoline}$ 

AUTHOR:

Michel Békhazi, B.Sc. (Concordia University, Montréal)

SUPERVISOR:

Professor J. Warkentin

52, vi NUMBER OF PAGES:

#### <u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>

I am very grateful to Professor J. Warkentin for his encouragement and continued interest throughout the course of this work. I would also like to thank Dr. N.H. Werstiuk, Dr. G. MacAlpine and Dr. Y.M. Chang for their useful and helpful advices. Thanks are also due to Mr. Ian Thompson and Mr. Brian Sayer for their technical help. Finally, I would like to thank Mrs. Rose Vonau and Ms. Louisa Maccan for typing this thesis.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page |  |  |  |
|--|------|--|--|--|
| Abstract   | iii  |  |  |  |
| Acknowledgements   | - iv |  |  |  |
| Introduction   | - 1. |  |  |  |
| I: Carbenes  | 1    |  |  |  |
| II: Oxidation of hydrazones  | 12   |  |  |  |
| III: Decomposition of $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines                            | 17   |  |  |  |
| Results and Discussion   | 21   |  |  |  |
| I: General/ -  | 21   |  |  |  |
| II: Thermal decomposition of oxadiazoline(XXII)                                  | 22   |  |  |  |
| III:Rate constant calculations-  | 31   |  |  |  |
| IV: Mechanism of decomposition   | 32   |  |  |  |
| V: Trapping the carbenes with 1,1-diphenylethylene                               | 35   |  |  |  |
| VI: Reaction of independently generated dimethylcarbene<br>with CCl <sub>4</sub> | 39   |  |  |  |
| VII:Conclusion   | 42   |  |  |  |
| VIII:Summary   | 42   |  |  |  |
| Experimental -   | 43   |  |  |  |
| References   |      |  |  |  |

# LIST OF TABLES

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Table I Synthesis of Oxadiazoline                    | 23   |
| Table II Products from thermal decomposition of XXII | 28   |
| Table III Kinetic data                               | 31   |

#### INTRODUCTION

A study of oxadiazolines revealed that in some cases they thermolyze through carbene intermediates. Therefore, the introduction will deal with some carbene chemistry with the addition of some background on oxadiazoline chemistry.

#### I: Carbenes

#### A: Introduction

Carbenes are neutral, bivalent carbon intermediates in which a carbon atom has two covalent bonds, and two non-bonding orbitals containing two electrons. If the two electrons are spin-paired the carbene is a singlet; if the spins are parallel the carbene is a triplet. A triplet carbene often reacts as a diradical.

Singlet carbenes are electron deficient like carbonium ions, while possessing a non-bonding pair like that of carbanions. Electrophilic or nucleophilic character of singlet carbenes depends on the ability of adjacent groups to withdraw electrons from or supply electrons to the carbene carbon.<sup>2</sup>

Carbenes with NR<sub>2</sub>, SR or OR substituents behave like nucleophiles, in contrast to the electrophilic behaviour of many divalent carbon intermediates.<sup>2</sup>

This part will deal with the formation and the nucleophilic or electrophilic reactivity of carbenes.

#### B: Generation of Carbenes

Carbenes can be generated from diazoalkanes, ketenes,

diazirines, and by other routes.

#### a) From diazoalkanes

Pyrolysis or photolysis of diazoalkanes generates carbenes.  $^2$  The first suggestions that the decomposition of diazomethane might involve methylene, :CH $_2$ , as an intermediate, were made by Nef at the end of the last century. The photolysis of diazo compounds, both in the gas phase and in solution, initiates a methylene transfer.

$$[1] \qquad R_2C = N_2 \xrightarrow{hv} R_2C: + N_2$$

Carbenes generated by this method are highly energetic and their reactions may be indiscriminate.

Diazoalkanes can be generated from hydrazones, toluene-p-sulfonyl hydrazones,  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines, and other compounds.

#### (i) From hydrazones:

Oxidation of hydrazones under mild conditions using lead tetraacetate (L.T.A.) yields diazoalkanes, 4 which can be decomposed directly to carbenes.


[2] 
$$R_2C = N - NH_2 \xrightarrow{L.T.A.} R_2C = N_2 \xrightarrow{hv} R_2C: + N_2$$

## (ii) From toluene-p-sulfonyl hydrazones:

The reaction of Na OMe or LiBu with toluene-p-sulfonyl hydrazones followed by thermolysis<sup>5</sup> or photolysis<sup>6</sup> of the salt yields a carbene:

[3] 
$$R_2C=N-NH-SO_2$$
 Me  $\xrightarrow{Na OMe}$   $R_2C=N-N-SO_2$  Me

Among the wide range of carbenes generated by this method are:



(iii) From  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines:

Irradiation of  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines results in the formation of diazoalkanes and carbonyl compounds. 7,8,9

## b) From ketenes

In the case of ketenes, carbon monoxide is lost in the carbene forming process. Substituted ketenes can similarly give carbenes by thermolysis or photolysis. This is not a good

route because ketenes tend to polymerize under the same conditions needed to generate carbenes.

[5] 
$$R_2C = C = 0 \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_2C : \cdot$$

#### c) From diazirines

The photolysis of diazirines is an excellent procedure for generating carbenes since the diazirine tends to be less hazardous than the isomeric diazoalkane. Diazirines can be synthesized from ammonia, hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid and

Retones. 10

[6] 
$$R_2C=0 + NH_3 + H_2NOSO_3H \longrightarrow R_2C$$

NH

NH

NH

NH

NH

NH

NOSO\_3H

R\_2C: + N\_2

While there is evidence for diazoalkanes being intermediates in the photolysis of diazirines, <sup>11,12</sup> their presence in the thermolysis was not certain <sup>13,14</sup> up to 1976 when 1-phenyl-1-diazopentane (II) was formed from the pyrolysis of 3n-butyl-3 phenyl diazirine <sup>15</sup>(I). The proposed mechanism is:

In the case of dimethyl diazirine (III) both photolysis 17 and thermolysis, 18 in the gas phase, yield propene as a major product.

[8] 
$$\begin{array}{c} N \\ N \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3} \begin{array}{c} hv \\ or \end{array} \\ \hline d) \text{ Miscellaneous} \end{array}$$

Photolysis of 9,10-dihydro-9,10-methanophenanthrene (IV) was shown to generate methylene. 19

[9] 
$$hv \rightarrow :CH_2 + O$$

When pyrolysed, hexafluorocyclopropane yields difluorocarbene  $^{20}$ 

$$[10] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F}} \qquad \xrightarrow{\Delta} : \mathsf{CF}_2 + \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F}}$$

Finally, dimethoxy carbene<sup>21</sup> and dichlorocarbene<sup>22</sup> can be generated from the thermolysis of IVa and IVb respectively.

#### C: Reactions of Carbenes

The two general reactions of carbenes are insertion reactions and addition reactions. Depending on the substituents, intramolecular or intermolecular insertion or addition can occur.

#### a) Insertion reactions

#### (i) Intramolecular insertion

Alkyl and dialkyl carbenes react predominantly by insertion of the divalent carbon into 8 and  $\gamma$  C-H bonds, yielding olefins and cyclopropanes.  $^{2,23}$ 

Dimethyl carbene could not be added to olefins, propene being the only product from photolysis and thermolysis of dimethyl diazirine. 17,18 Photolysis of diazoethane 24 and methyl diazirine 25 yielded ethylene and acetylene as major products.

[14] 
$$\begin{array}{c} CH_3CHN_2 \\ h\nu \\ CH_3CH \longrightarrow H_2C = CH_2 \\ HC \equiv CH + H_2 \end{array}$$

Upon thermolysis of dimethoxymethyl trimethoxysilane (V), methoxy carbene was generated.  $^{26}$ 

[15] 
$$(MeO)_3 SiCH(OMe)_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} (MeO)_4 Si + :CH(OMe)_V$$

This route was used to produce alkoxy carbenes from thermolysis of silyl ketals. Where there was a hydrogen  $\alpha$  to the carbon bearing the alkoxy groups an  $E_2$  process occurred and no carbene was formed.  $^{27}$ 

[16] 
$$Ph_3Si-C-OMe \xrightarrow{\Delta} Ph_3Si-C-OMe + MeOH$$

$$CH_3 CH_2$$

[17] 
$$R_3Si$$
 C-OMe  $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$   $R_3SiOMe + Ph-C-OMe$ 

(ii) Intermolecular insertion:

[18] 
$$\begin{array}{c} R_{1} \\ R_{2} \\ R_{3} \end{array} + C + CH_{2} \xrightarrow{R_{1}} \begin{array}{c} R_{1} \\ R_{2} \\ R_{3} \end{array}$$

Two different mechanisms can be envisaged for the methylene insertion into a double bond: 1) concerted and 2) non concerted.

1) The concerted mechanism for a singlet carbene will involve a three-centre transition state.<sup>28</sup>

[19] 
$$C: (1) + -C - H \longrightarrow -C - - H \longrightarrow -C - C - H$$

2) The non concerted mechanism involves radical pair formation where the radical pair (VI) undergoes efficient combination because of contributions from ionic states.<sup>29</sup>

For monohaloalkanes, a concerted insertion mechanism can occur; for polyhaloalkanes the insertion mechanism is highly unlikely due to steric reasons. In the latter case a halogen abstraction is followed by a radical coupling reaction. 30

[21] 
$$H_2C: + CC1_4 \longrightarrow .CH_2C1 + .CC1_3 \longrightarrow C1CH_2CC1_3$$

In the case of triplet carbenes a non concerted mechanism is also proposed.<sup>2</sup>

[22] 
$$c:(11) + -c-x - -c.1 + 1.c-$$

#### b) Addition reactions

Singlet carbenes and triplet carbenes add to olefins with two different mechanisms. The first one adds in a concerted process, the second one in a non concerted process.

The reactivity of the olefins increases with increased substitution of the double bond with alkyl groups. Like insertion reactions, two different additions can occur; i) intramolecular addition and ii) intermolecular addition.

#### (i) Intramolecular addition

A vinylic carbene adds to the double bond to yield a cyclopropene<sup>2</sup> exclusively.



An allylic carbene gives a mixture of intramolecular C-H insertion and intramolecular addition to the double bond. 31

[26] 
$$CH_2 = CH - CH_2CHN_2 \xrightarrow{hv} H_2C = CH - CH = CH_2 +$$


#### (ii) Intermolecular addition

Heterosubstituted carbenes can have electrophilic or nucleophilic properties depending on whether or not the substituent is electron withdrawing or releasing. Dichlorocarbene (:CCl<sub>2</sub>) shows definite electrophilic properties, while reactions involving diaminocarbene (:C(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) can be interpreted in terms of nucleophilic intermediates. <sup>32</sup> Carbenes like :CF<sub>2</sub> and  $(H_3CO)_2C$ : can be expected to be on the borderline. Toward olefins difluorocarbene behaves as an electrophile and toward vinylogous esters it shows nucleophilic properties. <sup>33</sup>

In the case of dimethoxycarbene the addition to aryl isocyanates and isothiocyanates gives a positive  $\rho$  value of +2.0  $\pm$  0.5. Hoffmann 32 used this positive  $\rho$  value to show the nucleophilic character of the carbene.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{C1}} \xrightarrow{\text$$

Finally, when there is a competition between intra and intermolecular reactions, substituent effects play a big role in determining the product formation.<sup>34</sup> As an example:




yields of VII and VIII

| R  | R <sub>2</sub> | R <sub>3</sub> | R <sub>4</sub> . | r <sup>R</sup> 5 | <sup>R</sup> 6 | VII | VIII |
|----|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-----|------|
| Me | Н              | Me             | Me               | Me               | Me             | 30% | 54%  |
|    |                |                |                  |                  |                |     | 96%  |

Generation of isopropylchlorocarbene in the presence of an olefin yields product VIII as a major product, whereas ethylchlorocarbene in the presence of an olefin yields both VII and VIII meaning that the 1,2 hydrogen shift competes with intermolecular addition.

This appears to be consistent with substantial hydride character for the migrant hydrogen, and concomitant positive charge development at the migration origin, stabilization of positive charge is needed for a competitively efficient 1,2-shift and such is the case with isopropylchlorocarbene relative to ethylchlorocarbene. In the cyclopropylchlorocarbene (IX) case addition is the major product, IX probably adopts the bisected conformation, where stabilization factors includes the dual interaction of a chlorine lone pair and the bent o bonds of the cyclopropyl group, with the p orbital at the carbenic centre. On the other hand, hydrogen migration will result in an increase in strain on the cyclopropyl ring, hence improbable. Isopropylchlorocarbene at relatively low energy cost can adopt conformation such as X to make possible a ready hydride shift<sup>34</sup> which explains the fact that 96% of VIII was obtained.



### II: Oxidation of Hydrazones

## A: Use of Lead Tetraacetate (L.T.A)

The first reported use of lead tetraacetate was made by Dimroth in 1923 when lead tetraacetate was used to oxidize malonic esters and aryl substituted methanes to acetoxy derivatives. 36

Concurrently, Criegee studied the conversion of olefins to diacetoxy compounds  $^{37}$  and the use of lead tetraacetate to cleave 1,2 diols.  $^{38}$ 

Lead tetraacetate is a versatile reagent which reacts with sugars, <sup>39</sup> sterols, <sup>40</sup> oximes, <sup>41</sup> semicarbazones, <sup>42-43</sup> hydrazones, azines <sup>45</sup> and many other organic nitrogen compounds. <sup>46</sup> It's versatility and it's synthetic utility have been reviewed extensively. <sup>47-48</sup>

Many attempts have been made for the oxidative cyclization of organic substrates, using potassium permanganate, manganese dioxide and lead tetraacetate. The latter is the most widely used reagent for this purpose. In this discussion the emphasis is on the use of lead tetraacetate in the oxidation of hydrazones.

#### B: Reactions with Hydrazones

Aldehyde hydrazones form acyl hydrazines <sup>49</sup> upon treatment with lead tetraacetate, whereas ketohydrazones yield, in general, azoacetates in dichloromethane, and azoethers in alcoholic medium.

[29] 
$$RR'C = N - NR''$$

$$CH_2C1$$

$$CH_2C$$

A free radical mechanism was first proposed for the reaction of L.T.A. with ketohydrazones. 4,49,50 Although following the reaction with oximes by E.S.R. spectroscopy shows the presence

of radicals, there is no evidence for such intermediates in the oxidation of ketone hydrazones. The increased rate of the reaction in the presence of polar solvents suggests a polar mechanism. 51

[30] 
$$RR'C=N-N-R" \xrightarrow{-OAc} RR'C=N-NHR"$$

$$Pb(OAc)_{2}$$

$$OAc$$

$$-H^{+}$$

$$RR'C=N-NR"$$

$$RR'C=N-NR"$$

$$Pb(OAc)_{2}$$

$$Pb(OAc)_{2}$$

When-a suitable cyclization site occurs in the ketone substituents of the ketohydrazones, at the 4th or 5th atom from the methine carbon, a cyclic product is obtained. Small amounts of azoacetates are obtained as byproducts. A probable mechanism for the cyclization is a nucleophilic attack by the carbonyl oxygen on the  ${\rm sp}^2$  carbon of an organolead intermediate.

[31] R 
$$Pb(OAc)_3$$
  $C=N-N-Ar$   $OH$   $Pb(OAc)_2 + AcO$   $OH$   $Pb(OAc)_2 + AcO$   $OH$   $Pb(OAc)_2 + AcO$ 

Electrophilic attack of the cation resulting from the loss of  $Pb(0Ac)_3$  in XII on the olefinic  $\pi$  bond is ruled out because no cyclization occurs when the carboxyl group is replaced by a carbon-carbon double bond.

XII

Freeman $^{53}$  developed a new route to substituted cyclopropanes using azoacetates in the presence of a Lewis acid.

[33]
$$R_{2} \xrightarrow{R_{3}} H$$

$$L.T.A$$

$$R_{2} \xrightarrow{R_{3}} Lewis Acid$$

$$R_{1} \xrightarrow{OAc} OAc$$

$$R_{2} \xrightarrow{R_{3}} Acid$$

$$R_{2} \xrightarrow{R_{3}} Acid$$

Hoffmann first reported that ketone carbonyl hydrazones of type XIII readily cyclize upon treatment with L.T.A. to  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines XIV<sup>54-56</sup>.

[34] 
$$RR'-C=N-N-C-R" \longrightarrow RR'-C-N=N-C-R"$$

XIII

$$R \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow R'$$

$$R' \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow R'$$

$$R' \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N$$

$$R' \longrightarrow N$$

$$N \longrightarrow$$

He envisaged an ionic mechanism for the formation of XIV, involving a loss of acetate ion from azoacetate, followed by attack on the resulting carbocation by the carbonyl carbon.

Norman has also reported this cyclization. 57 He proposed a polar mechanism that does not involve an azoacetate intermediate.

[35] 
$$(0Ac)_2$$
  $(0Ac)_2$   $(0Ac)_2$ 

Both workers found that, upon thermolysis,  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines lose nitrogen to form epoxides.


# III: Decomposition of $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines

$$\begin{array}{c|c} R_1 & R_2 \\ N & O \\ N & R_3 \end{array}$$

 $\Delta^{3}$ -1,2,3-oxadiazoline

Like diazoalkanes  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines can be decomposed thermally and photochemically. It was first found that photolysis of oxadiazoline XV in benzene yields diphenyldiazomethane diphenylketene. When hydroxylic solvents were used, addition products were obtained.

Decomposition of oxadiazolines (XVI) gave epoxyacetates (XVII), which upon heating gave  $\alpha$ -acetoxyketones (XVIII). The decomposition of the oxadiazoline to the epoxyacetate was explained via a carbonyl-ylide mechanism obtained through the loss of nitrogen from (XVI). Intermediate (XIX) was trapped with norbornene and dimethylacetylene dicarboxylate. 8,54-56



Aromatic diazo compounds react readily with perfluoroacetones to give unexpected cycloadducts,  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines. The thermal decomposition of those oxadiazolinesyielded a ketone and an epoxide.

[39] 
$$R_2 \xrightarrow{N} CF_3$$
  $C_6 \xrightarrow{R_1} CF_3$   $C_6 \xrightarrow{R_1} CF_3$   $C_6 \xrightarrow{R_2} CF_3$   $C_8 \xrightarrow{R_3} CF_3$ 

For  $R_2 = Me$ ,  $R_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $R_1 = CF_3$ ; the decomposition product is (XX)

[40] 
$$\stackrel{\text{N}}{\underset{\text{Me}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\text{N}}{\underset{\text{CF}_3}{\longrightarrow}} CF_3 \longrightarrow CH_2 = C \stackrel{\text{O}}{\underset{\text{o}}{\longrightarrow}} CF_3$$

The same type of product was found when decomposing XXI in benzene.  $^{59}$  .

[41] 
$$\stackrel{N=N}{\underset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} 0$$
 OAC  $\stackrel{CH_2}{\underset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{H}{\underset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} 0$  OAC  $\stackrel{CH_2}{\underset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{H}{\underset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} 0$  XXI.

Other examples of decomposition of  $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazolines are shown below.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & R_{4} \\
 & R_{3}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{3} \\
 & R_{2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{3} \\
 & R_{1}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{3} \\
 & R_{1}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{3} \\
 & R_{1}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{3}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{1}
\end{array}$$


$$\begin{array}{c}
 & R_{2}
\end{array}$$

[43] 
$$Ar$$

$$ArN \equiv C + R_1R_2C=O + N_2$$

$$R_1 \qquad R_2 \qquad C = N = N + Ar N = C = 0$$

[44]



 $R_1R_2C=N-N=CR_1R_2 + N_2$ 

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### I: General

2-Methoxy-2,5,5-trimethyl- $\Delta^3$ -oxadiazoline(XXII) was prepared from the lead tetraacetate oxidation of acetone-N-acetyl hydrazone.

[45] 
$$NH_2NH_2.H_2O (99-100\%) + CH_3COCH_2CH_3 \xrightarrow{EtOH} H_2NNHCCH_3$$

[46]  $CH_3CNHNH_2 + CH_3CCH_3 \xrightarrow{CH_3} CH_3CNH-N=C$ 

[47]  $CH_3 \xrightarrow{CH_3OH} CH_3 \xrightarrow{CH_3OH} CH_3$ 

The proposed mechanism for the oxidation is:


[48] 
$$CH_3CNHN=C$$
 $CH_3$ 
 $CH_$ 

XXII

Oxadiazoline (XXII) was then thermolysed under-four sets of conditions:

- 1. Under air in refluxing  $CCl_A$
- 2. In  $CCl_4$  in an evacuated sealed tube
- 3. In benzene in an evacuated sealed tube
- 4. Neat in an evacuated sealed tube

The methoxyepoxide expected on the basis of analogy to the chemistry of oxadiazolines  $^{8,54-56}$ , eq.[38], was not one of the products of the thermolysis of the oxadiazoline (XXII).



A mechanism consistent with the observed products and with the kinetics is proposed. Finally results of trapping experiments and from the reactions of independently generated dimethyl carbene with CCl<sub>4</sub> are discussed.

## II. Thermal decomposition of oxadiazoline (XXII)

(i) Under air in refluxing CCl<sub>4</sub>

Oxadiazoline (XXII) was thermolyzed in CCl<sub>4</sub> at 76°C. After 5 days the solution was distilled (24 mm Hg) and the fraction distilling below 60°C was collected.

#### Distillate analysis

The P.M.R. spectrum of the distillate showed peaks at  $\delta$  = 2.0, 2.1, 3.6 and 7.3, all singlets. Addition, one at a time, of authentic samples of the suspected components to some of the distillate did not give rise to any new P.M.R. peaks. The mixture was separated

Table 1: Synthesis of oxadiazoline

| Sample   | yield% | M.P.°C                                 | N.M.R.<br>(p.p.m.)   | I.R. (cm <sup>-1</sup> )  |
|--|--------|--|--|---|
| CH <sub>3</sub> CNHNH <sub>2</sub><br>0                              | 75     | 65-67                                  | δ=1.9 (S,3)  | 3452,3328<br>3200,1679<br>1632,1370, 1000                                     |
| CH <sub>3</sub> CNHN ← C CH <sub>3</sub>                             | 90-    | 139-140                                | δ=1.9 (S,3)<br>δ=2.0 (S,3)<br>δ=2.2 (S,3)<br>δ=9.5 (S,1)   | 3200,3097,2948<br>1617,1400,1369<br>1340,1250,1134<br>1042,1011,865           |
| CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub> N S CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> | 65     | B.P.°C<br>at 10 <sup>-2</sup><br>40-45 | P.M.R:<br>δ=1.4 (S,3)<br>δ=1.5 (S,6)<br>δ=3.1 (S,3)<br>C.M.R.<br>δ=23.4<br>δ=24.0<br>δ=25.1<br>δ=50.4 (C6)<br>δ=119.9 (C5)<br>δ=133.7 (C2) | 2918,2892,2837<br>1558,1459,1370<br>1192,1116,1050<br>990,899, 850,598<br>550 |

by gas chromatography and two samples were collected. From the chemical shifts in the P.M.R. spectrum of those samples the first one was thought to be chloroform and the other one a mixture of acetone and methyl acetate. A further experiment was performed to help prove that.

#### a) Chloroform:

The proton magnetic resonance of the sample showed a singlet at  $\delta = 7.3$ . Some authentic chloroform was added to the reaction product and no new peaks appeared when a P.M.R. was taken. When injected on a 10% D.E.G.S. g.l.c. column heated at 70°C, the authentic chloroform and the Greaction product had the same retention time. An I.R. spectrum showed C-Cl bands around  $760 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Other spectral data are listed in Table 2.

#### b) Acetone and methyl acetate

The chemical shifts of the sample and a mixture of authentic acetone-methyl acetate mixture were the same with peaks at  $\delta$  = 2.0, 2.1 and 3.6; all singlets. When injected on a 10% D.E.G.S. column at 70°C, authentic acetone and authentic methyl acetate had the same retention times as the first and second peak in the sample, respectively. The infrared showed an acetone carbonyl stretch at 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a methyl acetate carbonyl stretch at 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup> and an ester C-O-C stretch at 1252 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2) Residue Analysis

Gas chromatography was used to separate and collect the two major components. The 10% D.E.G.S. column was heated at 110°C and the products were found to be 1,1,1,2-tetrachloro-2-methyl propane (XXIII) and 3,3,3-trichloro-2-methoxy propene (XXIV).

#### a) Product XXIII

This product was collected as a white solid which sublimed at 180°C (lit. 70 178.6° - 179.6°). P.M.R. singlet at 6 = 2.8 p.p.m.

The infra-red spectrum did not show the presence of any carbonyl group or a carbon-carbon double bond. Absorptions at 1390 and 1370 cm $^{-1}$  are characteristic of gem dimethyl functionality. Absorption at 648 cm $^{-1}$  is probably due to a C-Cl stretch. In the mass spectrum the parent 35 37 peak at m/e = 77 for Cl and m/e = 79 for Cl are due to the loss of CCl from XXIII.

1

Loss of Cl from XXIII followed by loss of HCl may be responsible for m/e = 159 and 123 respectively.

$$CH_3$$
 $CH_3$ 
 $CH_3$ 

The intensity ratios of the peaks at m/e = 159, 161 and 163 (1:1:0.3) suggests the presence of 3 chlorines. The nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum with a singlet at  $\delta = 2.0$  and the infra-red spectrum with bands at 648, 1370 and 1390 cm<sup>-1</sup> are in full agreement with the proposed structure of XXIII. Spectra data are listed in Table 2.

#### b) Product XXIV

This product was collected under the conditions described under (a) above. It's proton magnetic resonance spectrum showed a pair

of doublets at  $\delta$  = 4.4 and  $\delta$  = 5.2 and a singlet at  $\delta$  = 4.0. The infra-red spectrum indicated the presence of a carbon-carbon double bond with a band at 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> and an ether carbon-oxygen absorption band at 1282 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The mass spectrum showed peaks at m/e = 57 (parent peak) and m/e = 117.

$$Me0 \stackrel{+}{=} C = CH_2$$

$$m/e = 57 \cdot$$

| †cc1 <sub>3</sub>   | 35 <sub>C1</sub> | <b>1</b> <sup>37</sup> c1 | 2 <sup>37</sup> c1 |   |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|
| m/e:                | 117              | 119                       | 121                | • |
| intensity<br>ratios | 1                | 1                         | 0.3                |   |

The above data are consistent with the structure of XXIV (3, 3, 3 - trichloro- 2-methoxypropene). Mass.spec. gives rise to an ion at m/e = 174.

|                     | 35 <sub>C1</sub> | 37<br>1 × C1 | 2 <sup>37</sup> c1 |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| m/e                 | 174              | 176          | 178                |
| intensity<br>ratios | 1                | 1 :          | 0.3                |

The structure of XXIV is in good agreement with the infra-red, N.M.R. and mass spectra. All spectral data are listed in Table 2.

#### 3. Summary

The results of the above experiment are summarized in the equation below. Yields were calculated from peak heights in the N.M.R. spectrum.

[51]
$$CH_{3}CCH_{3} \qquad 43\%$$

$$CH_{3}CCCH_{3} \qquad 54\%$$

$$CH_{3}CCCH_{3} \qquad 54\%$$

$$CHC1_{3} \qquad 30\%$$

Other products which were accounted for in the N.M.R. but which were not isolated are 2-chloro-2-methoxypropene and 2 chloropropene with approximate

yields of 13% and 23% respectively.

## (ii) In $CCl_A$ in an evacuated sealed tube

Oxadiazoline (XXII) was thermolysed in a sealed P.M.R. tube at 80°C for five days, and the product distribution after completion of the reaction was analysed by nuclear magnetic resonance (N.M.R.) spectros-

Table 2: Products from the thermal decomposition of XXII.

| Sample  | N.M.R. (ppm)   | I.R. (cm <sup>-1</sup> )                            |
|---|--|---|
| CH3CCH3   | δ=2.1  | 2998,1725,1425,1347,                                |
| CH3COCH3  | δ=2.00 (S,3H)<br>δ=3.62 (S,3H)                         | 2949,1748,1450,1369,1252<br>1050,853                |
| СНСТЗ   | δ=7.3  | 2998,2921,2852<br>1222,760                          |
| (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CC1CC1 <sub>3</sub>   | δ=2.0  | 3097,2998,2952<br>1473,1390,1370,1131<br>957,648    |
| H <sub>2</sub> C:C(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CC1 <sub>3</sub> | δ=4.0 (S,3H)<br>δ=4.5 (d,1H)J=4Hz<br>δ=5.5 (d,1H)J=4Hz | 3200,2992,2944,<br>2919,1640,1451,<br>1282,1069,961 |

copy. The spectrum was found to be closely similar to that in (i) with the only exception that the yield of 3,3,3-trichloro-2-methoxy-propene was much lower in this case, and a new peak at  $\delta = 3.0$  p.p.m. appeared. This result suggested that the vinyl ether (XXIV) was produced later in the open-tube process, from a primary product of the reaction, namely, 1,1,1,2-tetrachloro-2-methoxy propane. The ether was thought to lose HCl in the equilibrium process (eq. 52). In a sealed tube HCl cannot escape which makes a difference between cases (i) and (ii).

In order to check this proposal the experiment was repeated in the presence of a base to trap the HCl produced. If this is, in fact, a reversible process, then the presence of the base should shift the equilibrium to the right and the tetrachloroether should give place to the vinyl ether. The base used was hexamethylenetetramine. At the end of the reaction the product composition was found to be similar to the one in (i) above.

[53]
$$CH_3 \longrightarrow CH_3$$

# 5

## (iii) In benzene in an evacuated sealed tube

In a P.M.R. tube oxadiazoline (XXII) and benzene were mixed together in a 1:10 molar ratio. After thermolysing the solution at 80°C for five days an N.M.R. spectrum was taken and it appeared that that propene was a major product (>30%). The N.M.R. signals attributed to propene in the sample were the same as those of an independently-run spectrum of a CCl4/propene solution.

# (iv) Neat in an evacuated sealed tube

In a P.M.R. tube oxadiazoline (XXII) was thermolysed for 5 days at 80°C. Three major products were identified from their P.M.R. chemical shifts.

The structure of product XXV was inferred from its P.M.R. spectrum in comparison with the major product in the decomposition of oxadiazoline (XXVI).

a:  $\delta = 1.50$  doublet, 3H J = 6.0 Hz

b:  $\delta = 1.85$  doublet, 3H J = 1.0 Hz

c: 6 = 2.08 singlet, 3H

d:  $\delta = 4.05$  multiplet, 2H J = 1.0Hz

e: ô = 6.07 quartet,1H J = 6.0 Hz

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & 31 \\
 & & CH_3 \\
 & & CH_3
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & & CH_3 \\
 & & CH_3
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & & CH_3 \\
 & & CH_2 \\
 & & CH_2
\end{array}$$

a:  $\delta = 1.25$ , doublet 3H, J = 6.0 Hz

b:  $\delta = 1.80$ , doublet 3H, J = 1.0 Hz

c:  $\delta = 3.20$ , singlet, 3H

d:  $\delta = 4.00$ , multiplet 2H

e:  $\delta \approx 5.10$ , quartet 1H J = 6.0 Hz

#### III. Rate constant calculations:

The thermal decomposition of oxadiazoline XXII in carbon tetrachloride at 80°C was followed by P.M.R. spectroscopy using dichloromethane as an internal standard for peak height measurements. The P.M.R. spectra were recorded every 4-5 hours. Reaction was stopped by quickly cooling the P.M.R. tube in cold water and the time outside the bath was not counted. Peak heights of the P.M.R. signal were normalized with respect to dichloromethane. The reaction was followed to 73% of completion. The rate constant was calculated by plotting the ln. value of the ratio of the peak height of the starting material to the peak height of dichloromethane, versus the time. The best line was drawn, the slope of which was the rate constant.

 $k = 6.81 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  (0.3 = standard deviation). The values used in the plot are listed in Table 3

| Time (hours) | ln A   |
|--------------|--------|
| 0            | 0.6268 |
| 12           | 0.8404 |
| 28           | 1.2292 |
| 36           | 1.4563 |

A = <u>peak height of starting material</u> peak height of standard

# IV: Mechanism of decomposition

The fact that from the thermolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) chlorinated products were obtained indicates that the intermediates reacted with the solvent, carbon tetrachloride. The experimental evidence on which this mechanism is based includes:

- (i) First order kinetics
- (ii) Identity of the products
- (iii) Same types of products from a process known to involve Me<sub>2</sub>C: as intermediates.

The rate determining step might be the loss of nitrogen in a concerted or non concerted fashion.

The 1,3 diradical could be going to the methoxyepoxide in a reversible process, although no evidence was found to prove this point. The diradical could break in two ways:

[56] 
$$CH_3$$
  $CH_3$   $CH$ 

The carbenes thus formed could react as follows:

Products XXVII and XXVIII were not isolated, their yield was calculated from the P.M.R. spectrum. In the case of the decomposition in benzene, the production of propene was a good sign in favour of the carbene mechanism.

[58a]

$$CH_3$$
 $CH_3$ 
 $CH_3$ 

The proposed mechanism for the decomposition of XX $\underline{\mathbf{I}}$  is shown below:  $^{66}$   $_{\mathbf{I}}$ [58b]

$$H_3C$$
 $CH_3$ 
 $CH_3$ 

This work so far suggests that the mechanism of the decomposition of the oxadiazoline (XXII) in carbon tetrachloride is completely different from the mechanism of the decomposition of oxadiazoline (XXI). In the latter carbones are involved as intermediates, namely:

methoxymethyl carbone and dimethyl carbone. In order to support or discredit this proposal two experiments were performed.

- 1. Trapping experiment with a known carbene trap
- 2. Reaction of independently generated dimethylcarbene with  ${\rm CCl}_{\Lambda}.$

# V: Trapping the Carbenes with 1,1-diphenylethylene


Oxadiazoline XXII and 1,1-diphenylethylene were heated together (40 mg: 350 mg), at 82°C. After 72 hours the reaction mixture was analyzed. The P.M.R. spectrum showed the presence of acetone and methylacetate in a 1:2 ratio, plus a multitude of peaks and some unreacted starting material. Distillation of the mixture at 60°C (24 mm Hg) gave those two compounds which had the same P.M.R. spectrum and the same G.C. retention time on a 10% D.E.G.S. column heated at 70°C, as authentic acetone and methyl acetate. The residue was distilled at high vacuum (0.1 torr at 100°C to remove any polymeric material. Two major products were obtained, separation of which was carried out by preparative thin layer chromatography: 1,1-diphenyl-2,2-dimethylcyclopropane (XXIX) and 1,1-diphenyl-2-methoxy-2-methylcyclopropane (XXXX).

## (i) Product XXIX

This product was obtained from the band at lower  $R_f$  value. Its P.M.R. spectrum was consistent with 1,1-diphenyl-2,2-dimethylcyclo-propane. It showed peaks at  $\delta$ =1.12 (singlet, 2H);  $\delta$ =1.25 (singlet, 6H) and at  $\delta$ =7.2 (distorted singlet, 10H).

#### (ii) Product XXX

The P.M.R. of this compound showed a broad singlet at  $\delta=1.16$  (4H) a doublet at  $\delta=1.25$  (1H,J = 9.0 Hz.), a singlet at  $\delta=3.10$  (3H) and a multiplet at  $\delta=7.30$  (10H). It was obtained from the band with the higher  $R_f$  value on a thin layer plate. The mass spectrum showed a molecular ion at m/e = 238. Losses of 15 and 31 units suggest the loss of methyl and methoxy respectively. A peak at m/e = 77 shows the presence of a phenyl ring. Finally a peak at m/e = 161 suggests the loss of a  $C_6H_5$  unit.



The P.M.R. peaks responsible for the gem hydrogens were at  $\delta=1.16$  and  $\delta=1.25$ . When the peak at  $\delta=1.16$  was irradiated, the doublet at  $\delta=1.25$  collapsed to a singlet. Two different mechanisms can be proposed for this trapping reaction, one involving a carbene addition to the olefin, and the other one involving a cycloaddition reaction of diazoalkanes and 1,1-diphenylethylene.

# (i) Carbene mechanism:

The mechanism involving carbenes as intermediates is shown below:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_3 & \text{CH}_3 \\ \text{CH}_3 & \text{CH}_3 \\ \text{CH}_3 & \text{CH}_3 \\ \text{CH}_2 \text{C}_{\phi_2} \\ \text{XXIX} \end{array}$$

# (ii) Cycloaddition reaction

Another mechanism can be proposed with no loss of nitrogen from the oxadiazoline(I) hence giving trapping products containing nitrogen.

The mass spectrum of those 1-pyrazolines could be very similar to those of the cyclopropanes because it was found<sup>65</sup> that in similar compounds molecular nitrogen is often lost and the molecular ion appears at 28 mass units below what is expected for the parent ion. The N.M.R. spectrum of pyrazoline XXXI shows:<sup>65</sup>

$$H_3C \xrightarrow{N = N} \phi \qquad XXXI$$

 $\ddot{\delta} = 1.13$  3H singlet


 $\delta = 2.29$  2H doublet, doublet

 $\delta$  = 3.20 lH singlet

 $\delta = 3.81$  2H doublet, doublet

 $\delta$  = 7.3 10H singlet

And in the corresponding cyclopropane 65 XXXII



الكتخا

δ= 1.06 3H singlet

δ= 1.18 2H doublet-doublet


δ= 1.43 lH singlet

 $\delta$ = 3.28 2H doublet


ô= 7.26 10H multiplet

The three important conclusions are:

- The aromatic protons appear as a singlet in the pyrazoline and as a multiplet in the cyclopropane.
- 2) The gem protons in the pyrazoline case appear at  $\delta=2.29$  and in the cyclopropane case at  $\delta=1.18$ . In the trapping experiment the aromatic protons in product (XXXa), appear as a multiplet and the gem protons appear at  $\delta=1.16$  and  $\delta=1.25$ . The product then is XXX(a) and not XXXIII.



3) The cyclopropane hydrogens have a reasonable chemical shift when compared to those of model compound XXX(b).



4) The pyrazolines formed are known to be stable so their absence in the products rules out the possibility of their decomposition forming the 3-membered ring.

# VI Reaction of independently generated dimethylcarbene with CCl

Dimethyl carbene is known to be generated from the thermal and photochemical decomposition of dimethyldiazirine. When produced in the gas phase it undergoes a 1,2-hydrogen shift to yield propene as a major product. The generation of this carbene from the corresponding diazirine was done photochemically and thermally in the presence of CCl<sub>4</sub>. Dimethyldiazirine

was prepared from dimethyldiaziridine from the procedure of Shmitz and  $\ensuremath{\text{Ohme.}}\xspace^{10}$ 

#### i) Photodecomposition:

In a sealed tube a 1:10 ratio of dimethyl diazirine and CCl<sub>4</sub> were irradiated ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 300 nm). The reaction was followed by taking P.M.R. spectra every hour for 12 hours. After this time 80% of the staring material had reacted. The P.M.R. spectrum showed the presence of chloroform ( $\delta$  = 7.30) propene ( $\delta$  = 1.65, doublet of doublets, 3H;  $\delta$  = 4.85 multiplet, 2H; and  $\delta$  = 5.70, multiplet, 1H); (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CCl CCl<sub>3</sub>( $\delta$  = 2.0) and CCl<sub>3</sub> CH<sub>2</sub>CHClCH<sub>3</sub>( $\delta$  = 1.70, doublet, 3H;  $\delta$  = 3.20, multiplet, 2H;  $\delta$  = 4.35, multiplet, 1H).

The presence of chloroform suggested that not all the dimethyl carbene produced formed propene. Some of it reacted with  $CCl_4$ .

#### ii) Thermolysis

In a sealed tube dimethyldiazirine and  ${\rm CCl}_4$  were thermolyzed at  $100\,^{\circ}$ C. After 4 days the P.M.R. spectrum did not show any sign of propene but the amount of  ${\rm CCl}_3{\rm CH}_2{\rm CHClCH}_3$  was larger compared to the other products in the reaction mixture (CHCl $_3$  and  ${\rm CCl}_3{\rm CCl}({\rm CH}_3)_2$ ). The amounts were compared by peak heights.

# iii) Interpretation of results

The presence of propene in the photoreaction and it's absence in the thermal reaction suggests that it reacts thermally with carbon

tetrachloride to give Cl<sub>3</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>CHClCH<sub>3</sub>. An attempt to react CCl<sub>4</sub> directly with propene under thermolysis conditions failed. No reaction occured. On the other hand when the sealed tube from the photoreaction was heated, the 20% starting material left over initiated the reaction. Propene disappeared and the peaks due to Cl<sub>3</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>CHClCH<sub>3</sub> increased which suggests a radical type initiated reaction of propene with CCl<sub>4</sub>.

[62] 
$$CC1_4 + In + CC1_3 + InC1$$

H

CH<sub>3</sub>
 $CC1_4 + In + CC1_3 + C1_3CCH_2 - CCH_3$ 
 $CC1_4 + CC1_3 + C1_3CCH_2 - CC1_4$ 

The presence of chloroform in the products suggests a reaction of dimethylcarbene with carbon tetrachloride. Where in the gas phase dimethyldiazirine decomposes to give 90% yield of propene, 24,25 in the presence of carbon tetrachloride there is at least one process that can compete with the fast 1,2-hydrogen transfer. The reaction of dimethyl carbene with carbon tetrachloride is shown below:

#### VII: Conclusion

Decomposition of  $\Delta^3$ -oxadiazolines often goes via a 1,3-diradical which rapidly closes yielding an epoxide (eq. 38)<sup>8,54-56</sup>. In the case of the decomposition of oxadiazoline(XXII) the evidence so far is in good accord with the carbene mechanism. There is no direct evidence for the presence of the methoxyepoxide. The presence of diazoalkanes as intermediates is ruled out because it is known that they would react via a cycloaddition reaction with 1,1-diphenylethylene to give a stable pyrazoline, which is not the case. 65

Pyrolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) generates dimethylcarbene and methoxymethyl carbene. When generated in the presence of CCl<sub>4</sub>, benzene and l,l-diphenyl ethylene, the carbenes give products in good accord with the proposed mechansim.

#### VIII: Summary

2-Methoxy-2,5,5-trimethyl- $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazoline was prepared from the lead tetraacetate oxidation of acetone-N-acetyl hydrazone in methanol.

The decomposition of the oxadiazoline is believed to involve carbene intermediates which react with the solvent, carbon tetrachloride, to give chlorinated products.

A trapping experiment with 1,1-diphenylethylene led to 1,1-diphenyl-2,2-dimethyl cyclopropane and 1,1-diphenyl-2-methoxy-2-methyl cyclopropane, in support of a carbene mechanism.

Finally, dimethyl carbene was generated from dimethyl diazirine in the presence of carbon tetrachloride and the production of chloroform and 1,1,1,2-tetrachloro-2-methyl propane suggests that one intermediate from thermolysis of the oxadiazoline is indeed dimethylcarbene.

#### III: Experimental

#### 1: Instrumental

Proton magnetic resonance (P.M.R.) spectra were obtained from Varian T.60 and Varian E.M. 390 instruments. Carbon tetrachloride and chloroform-d were used as solvents. Tetramethylsilane (T.M.S.) was used as a reference. Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer, model 283, instrument. Solutions were made with carbon tetrachloride and spectra were taken in 0.1 mm NaCl cells. Mass spectra were obtained from a high-resolution Consolidated Electrodynamics Corporation (C.E.C.), 21-110 instrument. Melting points were determined on a Thomas Hoover capillary melting-point apparatus. Gas chromatograms were obtained using a Varian Aerograph instrument, model A90-P3. Starting materials: were all commercially available, and were used without further purification unless otherwise specified.

#### 2: Synthesis reactions

#### (i) Preparation of lead tetraacetate

The method used was that of Fieser. Acetic acid (1200 ml) and acetic anhydride (800 ml) were mixed in a three litre, three-necked, round bottom flask. The mixture was heated to 55°C and stirred vigorously with a mechanical stirrer. Red lead oxide (1400 g) was added in portions of 15-20 g over a period of five hours. A fresh addition was made only after the orange colour due to the preceding portion had mostly vanished. The temperature was maintained between 55°C and 60°C. At the end of the reaction the thick slurry was filtered, washed with cold acetic acid and recrystallized from hot acetic acid, (700 g, 79% yield)

# (ii) Preparation of acethydrazide

The procedure of Allen and Bell was followed, except for minor modifications. 68 Hydrazine hydrate (100g/99%) was added over a period of 10 minutes to a mixture of ethyl acetate (150 ml) and ethanol (150 ml/95%), in a one litre, three-necked, round-bottommed flask. After boiling the mixture for 48 hours the solvent was evaporated with a rotatory evaporator, and this was followed by distillation under reduced pressure (24 mm Hg). The product which distilled between 140°C and 150°C was collected. The condenser was heated with steam to prevent the solidification of the product. Recrystallization from ethanol gave acethydrazide of satisfactory purity; m.p.: 65°C -67°C. (litt. 71: 66°C - 67°C). Spectral data are reported in table 1.

#### (iii) Preparation of acetone-N-acetyl hydrazone

Acetone (29g, 0.5 mole) and acethydrazide (36 g, 0.48 mole) were dissolved in dichloromethane. Sodium sulfate ( $Na_2SO_4$ ) was added as a drying agent to remove the water produced in the reaction. The mixture was stirred for two hours. The product was recovered after filtration of the mixture, followed by evaporation of dichloromethane. Recrystallization from ethanol gave sufficiently pure acetone-N-acetyl hydrazone; m.p.:  $139^{\circ}\text{C}-140^{\circ}\text{C}$  (litt.  $^{72}$ :  $139.5^{\circ}$  -  $140^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) 51g, 90% yield. Spectral data are reported in table 1.

(iv) Preparation of 2-Methoxy-2,5,5-trimethyl- $\Delta^3$ -1,3,4-oxadiazoline

The lead tetraacetate (L.T.Ã.) was first washed with

pentane to remove traces of acetic acid, under an atmosphere of dry

nitrogen to avoid hydrolysis. L.T.A. (44.3 g, 0.1 mole) was

added to a stirred solution of absolute methanol (500 ml) containing

trifluoroacetic acid (25 ml). Acetone -N-acetyl hydrazone (11.4 g,

0.1 mole) was added to the mixture and the temperature was maintained at 0°C. The disappearance of the yellow colour was taken as evidence that the oxidation was complete. Most of the methanol was evaporated at reduced pressure (24 mm Hg), and the acetic acid was neutralized by adding a 5% solution of sodium bicarbonate. The mixture was then transferred to a separatory funnel and the oxadiazoline was extracted with dichloromethane. The solution was dried and the dichloromethane was evaporated. The residue was distilled at 25 mm Hg, and the fraction boiling between 35°C-45°C, was collected (65% yield). Other data are found in Table 1.

# (v) Preparation of dimethyldiaziridine

Dimethyl diaziridine was prepared according to the procedure of Schmitz and Ohme.  $^{10}$  In a three necked flask cooled to -78°C, liquid ammonia (34 c.c.) was mixed with acetone (17 c.c.) and methanol (20 ml). Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid (13g) was added in portions. After the mixture had been stirred for two hours, it was left overnight for the excess ammonia to evaporate at room temperature. Extraction with cold ether was followed by an extraction of the ether solution with conc.  $^{12}SO_4$ . The acidic solution was made alkaline and saturated with KOH pellets. Vacuum distillation ( $^{10}$  mm Hg) gave dimethyldiaziridine; mp.  $^{10}$  42°C (litt.  $^{10}$ : 41° - 42°C);  $^{10}$  yield. The I.R. spectrum was the same as in the litt.  $^{73}$ .

(vi) Preparation of dimethyldiazirine

The procedure of Schmitz and Ohme  $^{10}$  was followed. Dimethyldiaziridine (3.5 g, 0.05 mole) was dissolved in 80 ml of a 2N aqueous NaOH solution, and added over a period of three minutes to a solution of 30 g of Ag NO $_3$  in H $_2$ O. The diazirine (litt.  $^{10}$ : b.p.: 20° - 22°C). collected in a round bottomed flask cooled at dry ice methanol temperature. (50% yield). The I.R. spectrum was the same as in the litt.  $^{74}$ .

#### III: Decomposition reactions

(i) Thermolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) in CCl<sub>4</sub> under reflux:

The reaction was carried out in a round-bottommed

flask fitted with a reflux condenser. The molar ratio of (XXII) to

carbon tetrachloride was approximately 1:10. The flask was heated with

an oil bath for five days at the boiling point of CCl<sub>4</sub> (76.7°C). During

this time the colourless solution became dark yellow. Distillation at

reduced pressure (24 mm Hg) at 50°C gave a mixture of acetone, methyl

acetate and chloroform.

The distillate was analyzed by gas chromatography using a 10"  $\times$  0.25" of a 10% D.E.G.S. column, at 70°C.

The residue was analyzed in the same fashion with the same column heated at 110°C. Spectral data are listed in Table 2.

- (ii) Thermolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) in CCl $_4$  in a sealed-tube. Oxadiazoline XXII ( $10^{-4}$  mole) and CCl $_4$  (1.00 ml) were mixed together in an N.M.R. tube. After several degassifications, at liquid nitrogen temperature, the tube was sealed under vacuum (5 X  $10^{-1}$  mm Hg). The thermal decomposition was carried out in a temperature controlled oil bath at  $80^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for 5 days.
- (iii) Thermolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) in benzene in a sealed tube.

Oxadiazoline XXII ( $10^{-4}$  mole) and benzene (1.00 ml) were mixed together in an N.M.R. tube. After several degassifications at liquid nitrogen temperature, the tube was sealed under reduced pressure (5 X  $10^{-3}$  mm Hg). The tube was heated for 5 days in an oil bath kept at  $80^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  before the N.M.R. spectrum was taken.

#### (iv) Thermolysis of neat oxadiazoline

In an N.M.R. tube some oxadiazoline (XXII) was degassed at liquid nitrogen temperature, sealed and heated in a constant temperature oil bath at 80 C  $\pm$  0.5°C for five days. The reaction was stopped and an N.M.R. spectrum was recorded.

(v) Kinetics of the thermolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) in  ${\tt CCl}_{\Lambda}$ 

In an N.M.R. tube oxadiazoline (XXII) (20 mg) and carbon tetrachloride (1 ml.) were mixed together. Hexamethylenetetramine (10 mg) was added, the tube was degassed at liquid nitrogen temperature and sealed under reduced pressure (5  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mm Hg). The tube was heated at 80°C. The reaction was followed by N.M.R. and spectra were recorded every 2-3 hours.

(vi) Thermolysis of oxadiazoline (XXII) in the presence of 1,1-diphenylethylene

Oxadiazoline (XXII) and 1,1-diphenylethylene were mixed together in a 1:5 molar ratio. After the mixture was degassed the thick walled tube was sealed under reduced pressure (5 X 10<sup>-3</sup> mm Hg). The reaction was carried out at 82°C for 72 hours and the tube was opened and the mixture was worked up. The distillate collected up to 50°C (24 mm Hg) was analyzed by P.M.R. and Gas Chromatography. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane and 100 mg portions were applied on silica gel plates (1 mm thick). After eluting with dichloromethane two major strips were scraped from the glass. The support was extracted with dichloromethane and the solvent evaporated. Proton magnetic resonance spectra and infra-red spectra were taken to identify the products.

(vii) Photochemical decomposition of dimethyl diazirine in  ${\rm CCl}_4$ .

Dimethyldiazirine (0.0005 mole) and CCl<sub>4</sub> (1.00 ml) were mixed together—in a pyrex N.M.R. tube. After degassing the tube at liquid nitrogen temperature, it was sealed under reduced pressure (5 X  $10^{-3}$  mm Hg). A Rayonnette apparatus ( $\lambda_{max} = 300$  nm) was used as a light source and the photodecomposition was carried out at 50°C. The tube was checked for disappearance of starting material every hour, by running an N.M.R. spectrum. After 12 hours 80% of the starting material signal (1.0 ppm) had disappeared.

(viii) Thermal decomposition of dimethyldiazirine in CCl<sub>4</sub>

Dimethyldiazirine (0.0005 mole) and CCl<sub>4</sub>(1.00 ml) were were mixed together in a tube. After several degassifications at liquid nitrogen temperature, the tube was sealed at reduced pressure (5 X 10<sup>-3</sup> mm Hg). The reaction was performed in an oil bath where the temperature was maintained at 100°C for 96 hours. After this time the reaction was stopped and an N.M.R. was taken.

In all those reactions 2 drops of T.M.S. were used as an internal standard for N.M.R. spectra. Peak heights for yield calculations are relative to the T.M.S. peak height. Finally all spectral data are reported in Table 1 and 2 and in the results and discussion portion of this thesis.

## REFERENCES

- T.L. Gilchrist and C.W. Rees, "Carbene, Nitrenes and Arenes".
   Nelson, London, (1969).
- 2. W. Kirmse, "Carbene Chemistry." Academic Press, New York, (1971).
- 3. B.M. Herzog and R.W. Carr, Jr., J. Phys. Chem. 71, 2688 (1967).
- D.C. Iffland, L. Salisbury and W.R. Schafer, J.A.C.S., <u>83</u>, 747 (1961).
- 5. R.M. McDonald and R.A. Krueger, J. Org. Chem., 31, 488 (1966).
- 6. W.M. Jones and C.L. Ennis, J.A.C.S., 89, 3069 (1967).
- 7. W. Kirmse, Chem. Ber. <u>93</u>, 2357 (1960).
- 8. R.W. Hoffmann and H.L. Luthardt, Tetrahedron Lett., 411 (1966).
- 9. D.C. Montague and F.S. Rowland, J. Phys. Chem. 72, 3705 (1968).
- 10. E. Schmitz and R. Ohme, Chem. Ber., 94, 2166 (1962).
- R.A. Smith and J.R. Knowles, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 686
   (1975).
- 12. H.M. Frey, Adv. Photochem., <u>4</u>, 225 (1966).
- 13. E. Schmitz, C. Hörig and C. Gründemann, Chem. Ber., 100, 2093 (1967).
- 14. M.T.H. Lui and K. Toriyama, Can. J. Chem., <u>50</u>, 3009 (1972).
- 15. M.T.H. Lui, B.M. Jennings, Can. J. Chem., <u>64</u>, 6416 (1976).
- 16. M.T.H. Lui, B.M. Jennings, Can. J. Chem., <u>64</u>, 3596 (1977).
- 17. H.M. Frey and J.D.R. Stevens, J.C.S., 3514 (1963).
- 18. H.M. Frey and J.D.R. Stevens, J.C.S., 3865 (1962).
- 19. D.B. Richardson, J.A.C.S., <u>87</u>, 2763 (1965).
- 20. J.M. Birchall, R.N. Haszeldine and D.W. Roberts, Chem. Comm., 287 (1967).
- 21. R.W. Hoffmann and C. Wünshe, Chem. Ber., 100, 943 (1967).
- 22. 'V. Rautenstrauch, H.J. Scholl and E. Vogel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,



- <u>7</u>, 288 (1968).
- 23. W. Kirmse and M. Buschhoff, Chem. Ber. 102, 1098 (1969).
- 24. H.M. Frey, J.C.S., 2293 (1962).
- 25. H.M. Frey and I.D.R. Stevens, J.C.S., 1700 (1965).
- 26. W.H. Atwell and D.R. Weyenberg, J.A. & S., 91, 2025 (1969).
- 27. A.G. Brook and P.J. Dillon, Can. J. Chem., 47, 4347 (1969).
- 28. W.B. DeMore and S.W. Benson, Adv. Photochem. 2, 219 (1964).
- 29. A.H. Laufen, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 959 (1969).
- 30. D.W. Setser, Robert Littrell, and J.C. Hassler, J.A.C.S. <u>87</u>, 2062 (1965).
- 31. K.R. Kopecky, G.S. Hammond, and P.A. Leermakers, J.A.C.S. <u>83</u>,2397 (1961); <u>84</u> 1015 (1962).
- 32. R.W.Hoffmann and M. Reiffen, Chem. Ber., <u>109</u>, 2565 (1976).
- 33. P. Hodge, J.A. Edwards and J.H. Fried, Tetrahedron Letters, 5175 (1966).
- 34. R. Moss and R.C. Munjal, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 775 (1978).
- 35. J.K. Landquist, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 324 (1970).
- 36. O. Dimroth and R. Schweizer, Ber., <u>56</u>, 1375 (1923).
- 37. R. Criegee, Ann., 481, 263 (1920).
- 38. R<sub>r</sub> Criegee, Ber., <u>64</u>, 260 (1931).
- 39. R.S. Perlin, Adv. Carbohydrate Chem., 14, 9 (1959).
- . 40. K. Heusler and J. Kalvoda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., <u>3</u>, 525 (1964).
  - 41. R.N. Butler, Chem. Ind. (London), 523 (1972).
  - 42. P.R. West, Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario (1967).
  - 43. P. Knittle, Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario (1975).
  - 44. R.N. Butler, Chem. Ind. (London), 437 (1968).
  - 45. B.T. Gillis and M.P. LaMontagne, J. Org. Chem., <u>32</u>, 3318 (1967).

- 46. J.B. Aylward, Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc., <u>25</u>, 407 (1971).
- 47. J. Warkentin, Synthesis, 279 (1970).
- 48. R.N. Butler, F.L. Scott and T.A.F. O'Mahony, Chem. Rev., <u>73</u>, 93 (1973).
- 49. B.T. Gillis and M.P. LaMontagne, J. Org. Chem., 33, 762 (1968).
- 50. B.T. Gillis and M.P. LaMontagne, J. Org. Chem., 33, 1294 (1968).
- 51. M.J. Harrison, R.O.C. Norman and W.A.F. Gladstone, J. Chem. Soc., 735 (1967).
- 52. G.B. Gubelt and J. Warkentin, Can. J. Chem., 47, 3983 (1969).
- 53. J.P. Freeman, J. Org. Chem., <u>28</u>, 885 (1963); <u>29</u>, 1379 (1964).
- 54. R.W. Hoffmann and H.L. Luthardt, Tetrahedron Lett., 411 (1966).
- 55. R.W. Hoffmann and H.L. Luthardt, Tetrahedron Lett., 3501 (1967).
- 56. R.W. Hoffmann and H.L. Luthardt, Chem. Ber., <u>101</u>, 3851 (1968).
- 57. W.A.F. Gladstone and R.O.C. Norman, J. Chem. Soc., <u>C</u>, 1531 (1966).
- 58. N. Shimizu and P.D. Bartlett, J.A.C.S., <u>100</u>, 4260 (1977).
- J. Warkentin, G.A. MacAlpine and D.W.K. Yeung, J.A.C.S., <u>100</u>, 1962
   (1978).
- P.C. Ip, K. Ramakrishnan and J. Warkentin, Can. J. Chem., <u>52</u>, 3671
   (1974).
- 61. K. Ramakrishnan, J.B. Fulton and J. Warkentin, Tetrahedron, <u>32</u>, 2685 (1976).
- 62. K. Ramakrishnan, Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University (1975).
- 63. P.R. West and J. Warkentin, J. Org. Chem., <u>34</u>, 3233 (1969).
- 64. S.L. Lee, A.M. Cameron and J. Warkentin, Can. J. Chem., <u>50</u>, 2376 (1972).
- 65. R.L. Dreibelbis, H.N. Khatri and H.M. Walborsky, J. Org. Chem., <u>40</u>, 2074 (1975).
- 66. D. Yeung, Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario (1977).

- 67. L. Fieser and M. Fieser, Reagents for Organic Synthesis, New York, N.Y., 537 (1967).
- 68. C.F.H. Allen and A. Bell, "Organic Synthesis", collective volume 3, p. 96.
- 69. B. A. Englin, et. al., Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1973, (8), 1750.
- 70. Beilstein, Hanbuch, 4. Aufl. 3. Erg. Werk, Bd I/20.
- 71. J. Curtius and T. S. Hoffmann, J. Prakt. Chem., <u>53</u>, 513 (1896).
- 72. R. A. Turner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>69</u>, 877 (1947).
- 73. S. F. Bush, M.M. Allen and W. C. Harris, Spectrochemica Acta., <u>31A</u>, 1509 (1975).
- 74. Mitchell, R. W. and Merritt, J. A., J. Mol. Spectrosc., 1968, 27, 197.